

Proof of mailing

November 14, 2011

Charles E. Summers, Jr.
Secretary of State
148 State House Station
Augusta, Maine 04333-0148

Re: Freedom of Access Act request pursuant to Title 1, M.R.S. Chapter 13, Sections 401, 407, 408, 410 – public records available for public inspection and copying

Dear Secretary Summers,

Whereas, you and your office operate in the State jurisdiction, this Freedom of Access Act request is pursuant to “Title 1, MRS, Chapter 13, Section 408 – public records available for public inspection and copying.”

Therefore, this is a request under the Freedom of Access Act pursuant to “Title 1, MRS, Chapter 13, Section 408” as above-mentioned.

This is my firm promise to pay fees and costs for locating, duplicating, and reviewing documents for the information as requested below. In the event that the fees and cost exceed \$20.00, please send me the information of such fees and costs prior to sending the requested information.

If some of this request is exempt from release, please send those portions that are not exempt, and please provide me with the indexing, itemization, and detailed justification concerning the information that is exempt which you are not releasing.

On August 1, 2011 I contacted you regarding election law violations during the 2004 election in Biddeford and a request to meet with you to provide more documentation for inclusion into your findings to be provided to Attorney General William Schneider. I attached my complaints to Deputy Secretary of State Julie Flynn and Asst. A.G. Leanne Robbins. The first-class mailings of my campaign flyers were not attached for obvious reasons.

On August 17, 2011 you replied to my correspondence stating that you “will review the information [I] sent and will advise if an investigation is initiated.” You also noted “that Maine law provides a statute of limitations on the prosecution of most crimes. The only way to ensure that laws and policies are being properly followed is to raise questions when you feel that something may need to be reviewed.” However, there is no statute of limitations on fraud per the U.S. Supreme Court ruling in HAZEL-ATLAS GLASS CO. v. HARTFORD-EMPIRE CO., 322 U.S. 238 (1944). As you can see from my complaints to Julie L. Flynn, dated February 9, 2004 (copy to now former A.G. Stephen Rowe) and Leanne Robbins, (not Robinson) Asst. A.G., dated May 15, 2005; and hand delivered to her on August 1, 2007, these complaints were well within the statute of limitations and the laws were not properly followed by these public servants. Whereas Julie Flynn was the contact person for submitting info/evidence regarding the investigation into voter fraud, she is in conflict of interest in deciding my

issue on Maine election law and Federal law violations.

Additionally, 18 U.S.C. §4. Misprision of felony. Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than three years, or both.

I received your correspondence, dated November 7, 2011, regarding my inquiry on the status of your investigation into my complaint on violations of Maine's election laws which affected my campaign, my rights, voter rights, and Federal issues involving the U.S. Postal service.

You “did not find that there is significant evidence to support an investigation. Deputy Secretary of State, Julie Flynn, **thoroughly** examined the incident with the Attorney General's Office when it first came to light and after reviewing the case, [you are] confident that she arrived at the proper outcome.

Whereas you have not afforded me the opportunity to meet with you for **your** review of the evidence, (to include other frauds perpetrated within the office of the Department of Motor Vehicles), your confidence is in error on how Julie Flynn arrived at the proper outcome.

Per this FOA request please provide within 14 days the following:

- 1) Deputy Secretary Julie Flynn's report on her thorough investigation.
- 2) Asst. A.G. Leanne Robbins' report on her investigation.
- 3) Your report, documents, data, etc. received from both Julie Flynn and Leanne Robbins which determine insignificant evidence to support an investigation.
- 4) Any, and all, correspondences sent to me by Julie Flynn and Asst. A.G. Leanne Robbins.
- 5) Define what is meant by your statement that this matter was “thoroughly” examined.
- 6) Whereas neither Julie Flynn, nor Asst. A.G. Robbins, ever responded to my complaints, nor ever contacted me to view the evidence, (i.e., first class mailings), thus a **thorough** investigation could not have been conducted, provide the statutory authority used in concluding that no violations of law exist.

This is a genuine FOA and has force and effect of law.

Pursuant to the law you are obligated to respond, “Title 1, MRS Chapter 13, Section §410. Violations. For every willful violation of this subchapter, the state government agency or local government entity whose officer or employee committed the violation shall be liable for a civil violation for which a forfeiture of not more than \$500 may be adjudged.”

Thanking you in advance for your response and assistance.

Respectfully submitted,

Dorothy Lafortune
P.O. Box 187
Biddeford, Maine 04005